

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/723,647

Applicant(s)

VERRALL ET AL.

Examiner

Necholus Ogden

Art Unit

1751

All Participants:(1) Necholus Ogden.(2) Michael Muczynski.**Status of Application:** non-final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 May 2004**Time:** 2:00**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
103

Claims discussed:
1-31

Prior art documents discussed:
Fujiwara, Miyazaki, wO '417

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's rep. discussed the claims and the prior art of record. It was brought to the examiner's attention that a pre-amendment was filed that addressed the concerns of the art that was applied and it appeared that it was not entered. The examiner discussed the pre-amendment and the prior art of record wherein it was agreed that the claims of the pre-amendment overcame the art of record and the claims are allowable..